

A Natural One-Form for the Schouten Concomitant

F. J. Bloore and M. Assimakopoulos

*Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics,
The University, Liverpool, L69 3BX, England*

Received December 18, 1975

The Poisson bracket in classical mechanics arises from the existence of a natural one-form on a cotangent bundle. The Schouten concomitant of two symmetric contravariant tensor fields is closely related to the Poisson bracket. We show that it arises in an analogous way from a natural one-cochain, where the chains are chains of derivations from the module of symmetric contravariant tensor fields into itself.

1. INTRODUCTION

In classical mechanics, the phase space of a dynamical system is the cotangent bundle T^*M of its configuration manifold M . The observables are real C^∞ functions on T^*M , and form an associative commutative algebra $F(T^*M)$ under pointwise multiplication. By using the natural 1-form θ on T^*M (Abraham and Marsden, 1967), this algebra can also be furnished with a Lie product called the Poisson bracket,

$$\{f, g\} = d\theta(X_f, X_g), \quad f, g \in F(T^*M)$$

where $X_f = (df)^\#$ is the Hamiltonian vector field generated by f .

The algebra $F(T^*M)$ has a graded subalgebra $F_0(T^*M)$ which consists of functions which are polynomial in momentum. To obtain this, we restrict the coordinate charts on T^*M to be of the form $(q^1, \dots, q^n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$, where the q^i are coordinates on M and the p_i are the components of the covector $p_i dq^i$ referred to the basis dq^i of the cotangent plane at q . The elements of $F_0(T^*M)$ are then polynomials in the p_i ,

$$\sum S^{i_1 \dots i_s}(q) p_{i_1} p_{i_2} \dots p_{i_s}$$

with coefficients which are components of C^∞ symmetric contravariant

tensor fields on M . The grade of an element is its degree in p . This filtration of $F_0(T^*M)$ is coordinate independent for the charts as restricted above.

In some formulations of quantization, only the elements of $F_0(T^*M)$ are assumed to correspond to quantum mechanical observables. It is then convenient to work not directly with $F_0(T^*M)$ but with the isomorphic algebra \mathcal{A} of symmetric contravariant tensor fields on M . Here the pointwise associative commutative product, the Poisson bracket, and the grading of $F_0(T^*M)$ are replaced by, respectively, the symmetrized tensor product, the Schouten concomitant, and the tensor valence. We give details in Section 2. When working with \mathcal{A} one need not mention T^*M but may concentrate on the geometrical properties of the configuration manifold M itself.

Now for $F(T^*M)$, it is an important mathematical fact that the existence of the Poisson bracket is due to the existence of the natural 1-form θ on T^*M . It may be helpful, therefore, in understanding the structure of the Schouten concomitant, to trace explicitly this 1-form through the isomorphism between $F_0(T^*M)$ and \mathcal{A} . That is the objective of the present note. We begin with the associative commutative algebra \mathcal{A}_0 of symmetric contravariant tensor fields on M furnished with the symmetrized tensor product. Unlike $F_0(T^*M)$, this algebra is not an algebra of functions on a manifold and so we cannot set up homology chains involving vector fields. Instead we must use derivations of \mathcal{A}_0 , as described by Hermann (1973). We then show that \mathcal{A}_0 possesses a natural coderivation or 1-cochain $\tilde{\theta}$, which maps derivations on \mathcal{A}_0 into \mathcal{A}_0 . This cochain has a nondegenerate exterior derivative $\tilde{d}\tilde{\theta}$, which we use to associate with each element of \mathcal{A}_0 the ‘‘Hamiltonian derivation’’ which it generates. We then define the Schouten concomitant using $\tilde{\theta}$ in exactly the same way as the Poisson bracket is defined using θ .

2. THE SCHOUTEN CONCOMITANT

Let $T^{(s)}M$ be the linear space of real fully symmetric contravariant C^∞ tensor fields S on M , with valence $v(S) = s$. Let $q^1, \dots, q^n, p_1, \dots, p_n$ be local coordinates of T^*M as described in the introduction. Denote by $C(S)$ the homogeneous function of degree $v(S)$ in the p 's,

$$C(S) = S^{i_1 \dots i_s}(q) p_{i_1} p_{i_2} \cdots p_{i_s}$$

The Schouten concomitant (Sommers, 1973) $[S, T]$ is an element of $T^{(r)}M$ where $r = v(S) + v(T) - 1$, related to the Poisson bracket by

$$\{C(S), C(T)\} = -C([S, T])$$

In terms of components,

$$[S, T]^{i_1 \dots i_{s+t-1}} = s S^{r(i_1 \dots i_{s-1}} \partial_r T^{i_s \dots i_{s+t-1})} - t T^{r(i_1 \dots i_{t-1}} \partial_r S^{i_t \dots i_{s+t-1})} \quad (2.1)$$

where bracketed suffices are symmetrized and $\partial_r \equiv \partial/\partial q^r$. The direct sum $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} T^{(m)}M$ is a Lie algebra with respect to the Schouten concomitant. The map $S \rightarrow C(S)$ gives a homomorphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow F(T^*M)$. Ordinary multiplication in $F(T^*M)$ is imaged in \mathcal{A} by the symmetrized outer product $S \cap T \in T^{(s+t)}M$,

$$C(S)C(T) = C(S \cap T)$$

$$(S \cap T)^{i_1 \dots i_{s+t}} = S^{(i_1 \dots i_s} T^{i_{s+1} \dots i_{s+t})}$$

Denote by \mathcal{A}_0 the linear space \mathcal{A} furnished with \cap multiplication, under which it is an associative commutative algebra. We shall construct the Schouten concomitant from a natural one-cochain $\tilde{\theta}$ on \mathcal{A}_0 by direct analogy with the construction of the Poisson bracket from θ .

Following Hermann (1973) we set up a cochain complex on \mathcal{A}_0 as follows. Let $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ be the \mathcal{A}_0 module of derivations from \mathcal{A}_0 to \mathcal{A}_0 . An r -cochain f is an antisymmetric \mathcal{A}_0 -multilinear map of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_0)X \cdots X\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_0)$ (r times) into \mathcal{A}_0 . Let $F_r(M)$ be the \mathcal{A}_0 module of r -cochains. Define, for $S \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $D \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_0)$, the one-cochain $\tilde{d}S$ by $\langle \tilde{d}S, D \rangle = DS$.

For $f \in F_r(M)$, define $\tilde{d}f \in F_{r+1}(M)$ by

$$\tilde{d}f(D_1 \cdots D_{r+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{i+1} D_i f(D_1 \cdots \hat{D}_i \cdots D_{r+1})$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r+1} (-1)^{i+j} f([D_i, D_j], D_1 \cdots \hat{D}_i \cdots \hat{D}_j \cdots D_{r+1})$$

Here \hat{D}_i means that D_i is omitted. It follows that $\tilde{d}\tilde{d} = 0$. We shall first obtain $\tilde{\theta}$ by working in one coordinate patch of M with coordinates q^1, \dots, q^n , and then give a coordinate-free definition. Denote the local vector fields on M by $e_i = \partial/\partial q^i$. Then

$$C(e_i) = p_i$$

Let D be a derivation on \mathcal{A}_0 . Derivations on \mathcal{A}_0 are completely defined by their values on the elements q^i and e_i . Define the associated vector field X_D on T^*M by

$$X_D(C(S)) = C(DS)$$

and define the one-cochain $\tilde{\theta}$ on \mathcal{A}_0 by

$$C(\langle \tilde{\theta}, D \rangle) = \langle \theta, X_D \rangle \tag{2.2}$$

Now $\theta = p_i dq^i$, so

$$\langle \theta, X_D \rangle = p_i \langle dq^i, X_D \rangle = p_i X_D(q^i)$$

$$= C(e_i)C(Dq^i) = C(e_i \cap Dq^i)$$

$$= C(\langle e_i, \tilde{d}q^i, D \rangle)$$

Hence by (2.2),

$$\bar{\theta} = e_i \tilde{d}q^i \tag{2.3}$$

It may help the understanding of the action of $\bar{\theta}$ if we write

$$Dq^i = S_D^{(i)} \tag{2.4}$$

where $S_D^{(i)} \in \mathcal{A}_0$ has the form

$$S_D^{(i)} = \bigoplus_{m=\infty}^{\infty} S_D^{(i)1^i 2^i \dots i^m}(q) e_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap e_{i_m} \tag{2.5}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\theta}, D \rangle &= e_i \cap Dq^i \\ &= \bigoplus_{m=\infty}^{\infty} S_D^{(i)1^i 2^i \dots i^m}(q) e_i \cap e_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap e_{i_m} \end{aligned}$$

For example, any vector field $X \in T^{(1)}M$ provides a derivation on \mathcal{A}_0 , namely, the Lie derivative D_X . We have

$$D_X q^i = X^i, \quad \langle \bar{\theta}, D_X \rangle = e_i \cap X^i = X$$

The analogous analysis in T^*M is that X lifts to a vector field \bar{X} on T^*M , and $\langle \theta, \bar{X} \rangle = p_i X^i = C(X)$.

We may describe the action of $\bar{\theta}$ in a coordinate-free way as follows. Let $\varphi \in T^{(0)}M$; then from (2.4) and (2.5)

$$D\varphi = \varphi_{,i} Dq^i = \left(\bigoplus_m S_D^{(i)1^i \dots i^m}(q) e_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap e_{i_m} \right) (e_i \varphi) = S_D \varphi$$

so that D determines the element

$$S_D = \left(\bigoplus_m S_D^{(i)1^i \dots i^m} e_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap e_{i_m} \right) \otimes e_i$$

of $\mathcal{A}_0 \otimes T^{(1)}M$. Then we obtain $\langle \bar{\theta}, D \rangle$ by symmetrizing S_D so as to lie in $\mathcal{A}_0 \cap T^{(1)}M$, and so in \mathcal{A}_0 .

From equation (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}\bar{\theta} &= \tilde{d}e_i \wedge \tilde{d}q^i \\ \tilde{d}\bar{\theta}(D_1, D_2) &= (D_1 e_i) \cap (D_2 q^i) - (D_2 e_i) \cap (D_1 q^i) \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that $\tilde{d}\bar{\theta}$ is nonsingular. For if for all derivations D_2 ,

$$\tilde{d}\bar{\theta}(D_1, D_2) = 0$$

then taking $D_2 q^i = \delta_k^i$, $D_2 e_i = 0$, we obtain

$$D_1(e_k) = 0$$

and taking $D_2 q^i = 0$, $D_2 e_i = \delta_i^k$, we obtain

$$D_1(q^k) = 0$$

Hence D_1 vanishes and $\tilde{d}\tilde{\theta}$ is nonsingular. To each $S \in \mathcal{A}_0$ we may now define a Hamiltonian derivation D_S by the requirement that for all $D \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} DS &= \tilde{d}\tilde{\theta}(D_S, D) \\ &= (D_S e_i) \cap (Dq^i) - (De_i) \cap (D_S q^i) \end{aligned}$$

Taking first $Dq^i = \delta_k^i$, $De_i = 0$ we obtain

$$D_S e_k = DS = S^{i_1 \dots i_s, k} e_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap e_{i_s}$$

and then taking $Dq^i = 0$, $De_i = \delta_i^k$, we obtain

$$-D_S q^k = DS = s S^{i_1 \dots i_s - 1^k} e_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap e_{i_s - 1}$$

Hence

$$\langle \tilde{\theta}, D_S \rangle = sS$$

and we obtain for the Schouten concomitant

$$\begin{aligned} [S, T] &= \tilde{d}\tilde{\theta}(D_S, D_T) \\ &= -S^{i_1 \dots i_s, k} e_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap e_{i_s} \cap t T^{j_1 \dots j_{t-1} k} e_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap e_{j_{t-1}} \\ &\quad + T^{i_1 \dots i_t, k} e_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap e_{i_t} \cap s S^{j_1 \dots j_s - 1^k} e_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap e_{j_s - 1} \end{aligned}$$

which agrees with equation (2.1).

We conclude by posing a problem. In a thorough-going formulation of quantum mechanics based only on the algebra of observables, the underlying concept of a C^∞ configuration space would be superfluous. One might develop a quantum mechanics based on any suitable associative commutative algebra of "position operators." This consideration, together with the foregoing analysis, suggests that the following problem merits investigation. Let A be an associative commutative algebra and let $D(A)$ be its Lie algebra of derivations. The symmetric algebra $\mathcal{S}_0 = S(A \oplus \mathcal{D}(A))$ is an associative commutative algebra rather like \mathcal{A}_0 , so we denote the product operation by \cap . Now we can impose a Lie product structure on \mathcal{S}_0 which is like the Schouten concomitant on \mathcal{A}_0 by taking the semidirect product rules of $A \ltimes \mathcal{D}(A)$, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} [a_1, a_2] &= 0, & [D_1, a_1] &= D_1 a_1, & [D_1, D_2] &= D_1 D_2 - D_2 D_1, \\ & & a_i &\in A, & D_i &\in \mathcal{D}(A) \end{aligned}$$

and extending them to \mathcal{S}_0 by distributivity,

$$[S_1, S_2 \cap S_3] = [S_1, S_2] \cap S_3 + S_2 \cap [S_1, S_3], \quad S_i \in \mathcal{S}_0$$

The problem is: when can we construct this Lie product from a natural one-cochain on \mathcal{S}_0 ?

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. J. Underhill and Mr. I. R. Ghobrial for helpful discussion. M. A. thanks the University of Liverpool Science Faculty for financial support.

REFERENCES

- Abraham, R., and Marsden, J. (1967). *Foundations of Mechanics*. Benjamin, New York.
- Hermann, R. (1973). *Geometry, Physics and Systems*. Dekker, New York.
- Sommers, P. (1973). "On Killing tensors and constants of motion," In *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **14**, 787.